	Case 2:23-cv-00377-DAD-JDP Documen	nt 11 Filed 07/12/23 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	AIAI QI,	Case No. 2:23-cv-00377-DAD-JDP (PS)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER AND FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	ALEJANDRO MAYORKAS, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Defendants filed a motion dismiss, which was previously noticed for hearing on June 15,	
18	2023. ECF No. 5. After plaintiff failed to timely respond to that motion, I continued the hearing	
19	on defendants' motion and ordered plaintiff to show cause why sanctions should not be imposed	
20	for his failure to comply with the court's local rules. ECF No. 7. I also ordered plaintiff file an	
21	opposition or statement of non-opposition to defendants' motion by no later than June 30, 2023.	
22	<i>Id.</i> In response to that order, plaintiff filed a n	notion that asked the court to "excuse the delay and

accept the late filed Opposition." ECF No. 8 at 2. Because plaintiff had not filed an opposition, I construed plaintiff's filing as a motion for an extension of time. ECF No. 9. I granted that motion and gave plaintiff until June 30, 2023, to file either an opposition or statement of nonopposition to defendants' motion. I also warned plaintiff that failure to comply with that order could result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed for lack of prosecution, failure to comply with court orders, and failure to comply with local rules.

23

24

25

26

27

28

## Case 2:23-cv-00377-DAD-JDP Document 11 Filed 07/12/23 Page 2 of 2

1	The deadline has passed, and plaintiff has not filed an opposition or statement of non-	
2	opposition to defendant's motion.	
3	Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that the July 13, 2023 hearing is vacated.	
4	Further, it is RECOMMENDED that:	
5	1. This action be dismissed for failure to prosecute, failure to comply with the court's	
6	local rules, and failure to comply with court orders.	
7	2. The Clerk of Court be directed to close the case.	
8	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
9	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
10	after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written	
11	objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned	
12	"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the	
13	objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The	
14	parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to	
15	appeal the District Court's order. Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martine	
16	v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).	
17	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
18		
19	Dated: July 12, 2023	
20	JEŖÉMY D. PETERSON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		